

AUTOMORPHISMS OF PURE BRAID GROUPS

VALERIY G. BARDAKOV, MIKHAIL V. NESHCHADIM, AND MAHENDER SINGH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the structure of the automorphism groups of pure braid groups. We prove that, for $n > 3$, $\text{Aut}(P_n)$ is generated by the subgroup $\text{Aut}_c(P_n)$ of central automorphisms of P_n , the subgroup $\text{Aut}(B_n)$ of restrictions of automorphisms of B_n on P_n and one extra automorphism w_n . We also investigate the lifting and extension problem for automorphisms of some well-known exact sequences arising from braid groups, and prove that that answers are negative in most cases. Specifically, we prove that no non-trivial central automorphism of P_n can be extended to an automorphism of B_n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B_n be the Artin braid group on n -strings, and $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}\}$ the set of standard generators of B_n . In [1], Artin mentioned the problem of determining all automorphisms of the braid groups. Subsequently, in [2], he determined all representations of B_n by transitive permutation groups in n letters. Since then the subject has attracted a lot of attention. In [6], Dyer and Grossman completely determined the automorphism groups of braid groups. More precisely, they proved that

$$\text{Aut}(B_n) \cong \text{Inn}(B_n) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where $\text{Inn}(B_n) \cong B_n/Z(B_n)$ is the group of inner automorphisms and $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle \tau \rangle$ with $\tau(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

The pure braid group P_n is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $B_n \rightarrow S_n$, from the braid group to the symmetric group. Once the structure of $\text{Aut}(B_n)$ is revealed, it is natural to investigate the structure of $\text{Aut}(P_n)$. It is well known that $P_n \cong Z(P_n) \times \overline{P}_n$, where $\overline{P}_n = P_n/Z(P_n)$. Using this decomposition, Bell and Margalit [3, Theorem 8] proved that, for $n \geq 4$,

$$\text{Aut}(P_n) \cong \text{Aut}_c(P_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\overline{P}_n),$$

where $\text{Aut}(\overline{P}_n) \cong \text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1})$. Here $\text{Aut}_c(P_n)$ is the group of central automorphisms of P_n and $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1})$ is the extended mapping class group of the 2-sphere with $n+1$ punctures. Hence $\text{Aut}(P_n) \cong \text{Aut}_c(P_n) \rtimes \text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 4$. This result was used by Cohen [5] to give an explicit presentation of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(P_n)$ for

Date: April 25, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F36; Secondary 20E36, 20D45.

Key words and phrases. Braid group; central automorphism; extended mapping class group; pure braid group.

$n \geq 3$. Notice that from this point of view the result of Dyer and Grossman has the form

$$\mathrm{Aut}(B_n) \cong \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{D}_n),$$

where $\mathbb{D}_n$ is the disc with n punctures.

Since the group of pure braids P_n is the direct product of the center $Z(P_n)$ and the group $\overline{P}_n$, it is not difficult to determine the group of central automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}_c(P_n)$. On the other hand, P_n is a characteristic subgroup of B_n , and hence each automorphism of B_n induces an automorphism of P_n . This leads to the following question:

Question 1. *Is $\mathrm{Aut}(P_n)$ generated by $\mathrm{Aut}_c(P_n)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(B_n)$?*

After recalling some preliminary results in Section 2, we answer the preceding question in Sections 3 and 4 of the paper. The answer is evidently affirmative for $n = 2$, and we prove that it is so for $n = 3$ as well (Proposition 4.7). For $n > 3$, we prove that $\mathrm{Aut}(P_n)$ is generated by $\mathrm{Aut}_c(P_n)$, $\mathrm{Aut}(B_n)$ and one extra automorphism w_n (Theorem 3.6).

The last two sections of the paper are dedicated to the lifting and extension of automorphisms in certain short exact sequences arising from braid groups. A general formulation is the following:

Question 2. *Let $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. Given $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H)$, does there exist an automorphism of G which induces ϕ ? Analogously, given $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(K)$, does there exist an automorphism of G whose restriction to K is ψ ?*

For finite groups, this problem has been investigated in [7, 10, 11] using cohomological methods and the fundamental exact sequence of Wells [12]. In Section 5, we prove that the answers to both the questions are negative (Theorems 5.1 and 5.6) for the extension $1 \rightarrow U_4 \rightarrow P_4 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow 1$. Finally, in Section 6, we consider the extension $1 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$. We prove that the non-inner automorphism of S_6 cannot be lifted to an automorphism of B_6 (Proposition 6.2), and that no non-trivial element of $\mathrm{Aut}_c(P_n)$ can be extended to an automorphism of B_n (Theorem 6.6).

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We use the standard notations. Given two elements x, y of a group G , we write $y^x = x^{-1}yx$ and $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. Further, for $z \in G$, $\hat{z}$ denotes the inner automorphism of G induced by z . An automorphism of a group G is called *central* if it induces identity automorphism on the central quotient. The subgroup of all central automorphisms of G is denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}_c(G)$.

Next we recall some basic facts on braid groups and refer the reader to [4, 9] for more details. The *braid group* B_n , $n \geq 2$, on n -strings is generated by the set

$$\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}\},$$

and is defined by relations

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_i \sigma_j &= \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2.\end{aligned}$$

Note that B_1 is defined as the trivial group and B_2 turns out to be the infinite cyclic group. The subgroup of B_n generated by the elements

$$A_{i,j} = \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \dots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \dots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \text{ where } 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

is called the *pure braid group*, and denoted by P_n . Further, P_n is defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}A_{i,k} A_{i,j} A_{k,j} &= A_{k,j} A_{i,k} A_{i,j}, \\ A_{l,j} A_{k,l} A_{k,j} &= A_{k,j} A_{l,j} A_{k,l} \text{ for } l < j, \\ (A_{k,l} A_{k,j} A_{k,l}^{-1}) A_{i,l} &= A_{i,l} (A_{k,l} A_{k,j} A_{k,l}^{-1}) \text{ for } i < k < l < j, \\ A_{k,j} A_{i,l} &= A_{i,l} A_{k,j} \text{ for } k < i < l < j \text{ or } l < k.\end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen that P_1 is the trivial group, $P_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $P_3 \cong F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$. In general, P_n is characteristic in B_n , and the quotient B_n/P_n is the symmetric group S_n . Further, the generators of B_n act on the generators $A_{i,j} \in P_n$ by the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_k^{-\varepsilon} A_{i,j} \sigma_k^{\varepsilon} &= A_{i,j} \text{ for } k \neq i-1, i, j-1, j, \\ \sigma_i^{-\varepsilon} A_{i,i+1} \sigma_i^{\varepsilon} &= A_{i,i+1}, \\ \sigma_{i-1}^{-1} A_{i,j} \sigma_{i-1} &= A_{i-1,j}, \\ \sigma_{i-1} A_{i,j} \sigma_{i-1}^{-1} &= A_{i,j}^{-1} A_{i-1,j} A_{i,j}, \\ \sigma_i^{-1} A_{i,j} \sigma_i &= A_{i+1,j} [A_{i,i+1}^{-1}, A_{i,j}^{-1}] \text{ for } j \neq i+1, \\ \sigma_i A_{i,j} \sigma_i^{-1} &= A_{i+1,j} \text{ for } j \neq i+1, \\ \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} A_{i,j} \sigma_{j-1} &= A_{i,j-1}, \\ \sigma_{j-1} A_{i,j} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} &= A_{i,j-1} [A_{i,j}^{-1}, A_{j-1,j}^{-1}], \\ \sigma_j^{-1} A_{i,j} \sigma_j &= A_{i,j} A_{i,j+1} A_{i,j}^{-1}, \\ \sigma_j A_{i,j} \sigma_j^{-1} &= A_{i,j+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < j \neq n-1,\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, consider the following subgroup

$$U_i = \langle A_{1,i}, A_{2,i}, \dots, A_{i-1,i} \rangle,$$

of P_n . It is known that each U_i is a free subgroup of rank $i-1$. One can rewrite the relations of P_n as the following conjugation rules (for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}A_{i,k}^{-\varepsilon} A_{k,j} A_{i,k}^{\varepsilon} &= (A_{i,j} A_{k,j})^{\varepsilon} A_{k,j} (A_{i,j} A_{k,j})^{-\varepsilon}, \\ A_{k,l}^{-\varepsilon} A_{k,j} A_{k,l}^{\varepsilon} &= (A_{k,j} A_{l,j})^{\varepsilon} A_{k,j} (A_{k,j} A_{l,j})^{-\varepsilon} \text{ for } l < j, \\ A_{i,l}^{-\varepsilon} A_{k,j} A_{i,l}^{\varepsilon} &= [A_{i,j}^{-\varepsilon}, A_{l,j}^{-\varepsilon}]^{\varepsilon} A_{k,j} [A_{i,j}^{-\varepsilon}, A_{l,j}^{-\varepsilon}]^{-\varepsilon} \text{ for } i < k < l, \\ A_{i,l}^{-\varepsilon} A_{k,j} A_{i,l}^{\varepsilon} &= A_{k,j} \text{ for } k < i < l < j \text{ or } l < k.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from these rules that U_n is normal in P_n , and P_n has the decomposition $P_n = U_n \rtimes P_{n-1}$. This gives rise to the split extension

$$1 \rightarrow U_n \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow 1.$$

By induction on n , it follows that P_n is the semi-direct products of free groups as follows

$$P_n \cong U_n \rtimes (U_{n-1} \rtimes (\cdots \rtimes (U_3 \rtimes U_2) \cdots)).$$

It is known that, for $n \geq 2$, the center $Z(P_n) = \langle z_n \rangle$ is infinite cyclic generated by the full twist braid

$$z_n = A_{1,2}(A_{1,3}A_{2,3}) \cdots (A_{1,n} \cdots A_{n-1,n}).$$

It follows that $P_n \cong Z(P_n) \times \bar{P}_n$, where $\bar{P}_n = P_n/Z(P_n)$ (see [4]). More precisely,

$$P_n \cong Z(P_n) \times \langle A_{1,3}, A_{2,3}, \dots, A_{1,n}, \dots, A_{n-1,n} \rangle,$$

Using this decomposition, Bell and Margalit [3, Theorem 8] proved the following result.

Theorem 2.1. $\text{Aut}(P_n) \cong \text{Aut}_c(P_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}(\bar{P}_n)$ for $n \geq 4$.

Next, we recall a presentation for $\text{Aut}(P_n)$ from Cohen [5]. The subgroup of central automorphisms $\text{Aut}_c(P_n)$ consists of automorphisms of the form

$$A_{i,j} \mapsto A_{i,j} z_n^{t_{ij}},$$

where $t_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sum t_{ij} = 0$ or -2 . We have $z_n \mapsto z_n$ in the former case, and $z_n \mapsto z_n^{-1}$ in the latter case. This gives a surjection

$$\text{Aut}_c(P_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

with kernel consisting of automorphisms for which $\sum t_{ij} = 0$. Since P_n has $\binom{n}{2}$ generators, this kernel is free abelian of rank N , where $N = \binom{n}{2} - 1$. Further, the choice $t_{12} = -2$ and all other $t_{ij} = 0$ gives a splitting $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_c(P_n)$, and hence

$$\text{Aut}_c(P_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}^N \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

As in [5, Equation (11)], we note that this group is generated by the automorphisms $\psi, \phi_{i,j} : P_n \rightarrow P_n$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, 2\}$, where

$$\psi : A_{p,q} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{1,2} z_n^{-2} & \text{for } p = 1, q = 2 \\ A_{p,q} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi_{i,j} : A_{p,q} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{1,2} z_n & \text{for } p = 1, q = 2 \\ A_{i,j} z_n^{-1} & \text{for } p = i, q = j \\ A_{p,q} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be easily checked that $\psi^2 = 1$ and $\psi \phi_{i,j} \psi = \phi_{i,j}^{-1}$.

Let $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ denote the 2-sphere with $n+1$ punctures, and $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1})$ its extended mapping class group. For $n \geq 1$, $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1})$ has a presentation with generators $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \varepsilon\}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad & \omega_i \omega_j = \omega_j \omega_i \text{ for } |i - j| > 1, \\
& \omega_i \omega_{i+1} \omega_i = \omega_{i+1} \omega_i \omega_{i+1}, \\
& \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n-1} \omega_n^2 \omega_{n-1} \cdots \omega_1 = 1, \\
& (\omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n)^{n+1} = 1, \\
& (\varepsilon \omega_i)^2 = 1, \text{ and } \varepsilon^2 = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We refer the reader to [4, Theorem 4.5] for details. By Bell and Margalit [3], $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1}) \cong \text{Aut}(\overline{P}_n)$ and can be viewed as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(P_n)$. Further, the generators $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n, \varepsilon$ act as automorphisms of P_n in the following manner [5, Equation (12)]:

$$\omega_k: A_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{i-1,j} & \text{if } k = i - 1 \\ A_{i+1,j}^{A_{i,i+1}} & \text{if } k = i < j - 1 \\ A_{i,j-1} & \text{if } k = j - 1 > i \\ A_{i,j+1}^{A_{j,j+1}} & \text{if } k = j \\ A_{i,j} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $k \neq 2$;

$$\omega_2: A_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{1,3}^{A_{2,3}} z_n & \text{if } i = 1, j = 2 \\ A_{1,2} z_n^{-1} & \text{if } i = 1, j = 3 \\ A_{3,j}^{A_{2,3}} & \text{if } i = 2, j \geq 4 \\ A_{2,j} & \text{if } i = 3 \\ A_{i,j} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\omega_n: A_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{i,j} & \text{if } j \neq n \\ (A_{1,n} A_{1,2} A_{1,3} \cdots A_{1,n-1})^{-1} z_n & \text{if } i = 1, j = n \\ (A_{2,n} A_{1,2} A_{2,3} \cdots A_{2,n-1})^{-1} z_n & \text{if } i = 2, j = n \\ (A_{i,n} A_{1,i} \cdots A_{i-1,i} A_{i,i+1} \cdots A_{i,n-1})^{-1} & \text{if } 3 \leq i, j = n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varepsilon: A_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{1,2}^{-1} z_n^2 & \text{if } i = 1, j = 2 \\ (A_{i+1,j} \cdots A_{j-1,j})^{-1} A_{i,j}^{-1} (A_{i+1,j} \cdots A_{j-1,j}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF P_n FOR $n \geq 4$

As we noted in the introduction $\text{Aut}(B_n) = \langle \text{Inn}(B_n), \tau \rangle$, and each automorphism of B_n induces an automorphism of P_n . Let $t = \tau|_{P_n}$ and $s_i = \hat{\sigma}_i|_{P_n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, where $\hat{\sigma}_i$ is the inner automorphism of B_n induced by σ_i .

Lemma 3.1. *The automorphism τ induces the automorphism t of P_n which acts on the generators by the rule*

$$t(A_{i,j}) = (A_{i,j} A_{i+1,j} \cdots A_{j-1,j})^{-1} A_{i,j}^{-1} (A_{i,j} A_{i+1,j} \cdots A_{j-1,j})$$

for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Proof. We use induction on n . Notice that it suffices to prove the equality

$$t(A_{1,n}) = (A_{1,n}A_{2,n} \dots A_{n-1,n})^{-1}A_{1,n}^{-1}(A_{1,n}A_{2,n} \dots A_{n-1,n}).$$

For $n = 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(A_{1,3}) &= (\sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^{-1})^\tau \\ &= \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^{-2}\sigma_2 \\ &= \sigma_2^{-2}\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-2}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_2^2 \\ &= A_{2,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}A_{2,3} \\ &= (A_{1,3}A_{2,3})^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1}(A_{1,3}A_{2,3}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the formula holds for n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} t(A_{1,n+1}) &= t(\sigma_n A_{1,n} \sigma_n^{-1}) \\ &= \sigma_n^{-1} A_{1,n}^\tau \sigma_n \\ &= \sigma_n^{-1} (A_{1,n}A_{2,n} \dots A_{n-1,n})^{-1} A_{1,n}^{-1} (A_{1,n}A_{2,n} \dots A_{n-1,n}) \sigma_n \\ &= \sigma_n^{-2} \sigma_n (A_{1,n}A_{2,n} \dots A_{n-1,n})^{-1} A_{1,n}^{-1} (A_{1,n}A_{2,n} \dots A_{n-1,n}) \sigma_n^{-1} \sigma_n^2 \\ &\quad (\text{using the formula of conjugation } \sigma_n A_{i,n} \sigma_n^{-1} = A_{i,n+1}) \\ &= A_{n,n+1}^{-1} (A_{1,n+1}A_{2,n+1} \dots A_{n-1,n+1})^{-1} A_{1,n+1}^{-1} (A_{1,n+1}A_{2,n+1} \dots A_{n-1,n+1}) A_{n,n+1} \\ &= (A_{1,n+1}A_{2,n+1} \dots A_{n,n+1})^{-1} A_{1,n+1}^{-1} (A_{1,n+1}A_{2,n+1} \dots A_{n,n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

An immediate consequence is the following.

Corollary 3.2. *The following equalities hold*

$$t\varepsilon(A_{1,2}) = A_{1,2}z_n^{-2} \text{ and } t\varepsilon(A_{i,j}) = A_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad \{i,j\} \neq \{1,2\},$$

i.e. $t\varepsilon = \psi$.

It is not difficult to see that the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(B_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P_n)$ is an embedding, enabling us to view $\text{Aut}(B_n)$ as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(P_n)$. Now, to determine $\text{Aut}(P_n)$, introduce the following automorphism of P_n :

$$w_n: A_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{i,j} & \text{if } j \neq n \\ (A_{1,n}A_{1,2}A_{1,3} \dots A_{1,n-1})^{-1} & \text{if } i = 1, j = n \\ (A_{2,n}A_{1,2}A_{2,3} \dots A_{2,n-1})^{-1} & \text{if } i = 2, j = n \\ (A_{i,n}A_{1,i} \dots A_{i-1,i}A_{i,i+1} \dots A_{i,n-1})^{-1} & \text{if } 3 \leq i, j = n, \end{cases}$$

Since the maps ω_n and w_n differ by a central automorphism, it follows that w_n also is an automorphism of the group P_n .

Lemma 3.3. *The automorphism w_n does not lie in the subgroup $\langle \text{Aut}_c(P_n), \text{Aut}(B_n) \rangle$ for $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. The automorphism w_n induces an automorphism $\bar{w}_n$ of the abelianisation P_n/P'_n . We prove that $\bar{w}_n$ does not lie in the image of $\langle \text{Aut}_c(P_n), \text{Aut}(B_n) \rangle$ into $\text{Aut}(P_n/P'_n)$. Indeed, since $\text{Aut}(B_n) = \langle \text{Inn}(B_n), \tau \rangle$, the actions of elements of

$\text{Aut}(B_n)$ on the quotient P_n/P'_n are compositions of inversion of A_{ij} and permutations of these elements. Hence, it is enough to show that, in the quotient P_n/P'_n , the set consisting of

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1, \\ &(A_{1,n}A_{1,2}A_{1,3} \dots A_{1,n-1})^{-1}, \\ &(A_{2,n}A_{1,2}A_{2,3} \dots A_{2,n-1})^{-1}, \\ &(A_{i,n}A_{1,i} \dots A_{i-1,i}A_{i,i+1} \dots A_{i,n-1})^{-1}, \quad i \geq 3, \end{aligned}$$

cannot be obtained from the set

$$A_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

using composition of the maps

$$A_{i,j} \mapsto A_{k,l}^{\alpha_{ij}} z_n^{\beta_{ij}},$$

where $\alpha_{ij} = \pm 1$, $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{i, j\} \mapsto \{k, l\}$ is the permutation of pairs of indexes $\{i, j\}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Note that in this case $z_n \mapsto z_n^{\pm 1}$. Let us take

$$w_n(A_{1,n}) = (A_{1,n}A_{1,2}A_{1,3} \dots A_{1,n-1})^{-1}.$$

If the transformation w_n modulo P'_n is a composition of maps of the specified type, then the word

$$(A_{1,n}A_{1,2}A_{1,3} \dots A_{1,n-1})^{-1} z_n^\beta$$

with a suitable $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ has the form $A_{k,l}^{\pm 1}$, but it is not true. Hence w_n does not lie in $\langle \text{Aut}_c(P_n), \text{Aut}(B_n) \rangle$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *The subgroup $\langle \text{Aut}_c(P_n), \text{Aut}(B_n) \rangle$ is not normal in the group $\text{Aut}(P_n)$ for $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. Set $H_n = \langle \text{Aut}_c(P_n), \text{Aut}(B_n) \rangle$, and suppose that H_n is normal in $\text{Aut}(P_n)$. Then the automorphism w_n induces an automorphism of $\text{Aut}(B_n) \cong H_n / \text{Aut}_c(P_n)$. By [6, Theorem 22], the group $\text{Aut}(B_n)$ is complete for $n \geq 4$, i.e. has trivial center and only inner automorphisms. Since $\text{Aut}(B_n) = \langle \text{Inn}(B_n), \tau \rangle$, $\text{Aut}(B_n) / \text{Inn}(B_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $w_n \notin H_n$ by Lemma 3.3, it follows that the product $w_n t$ must lie in the subgroup generated by inner automorphism group $\text{Inn}(B_n)$ and central automorphism group $\text{Aut}_c(P_n)$, which is a contradiction. Hence H_n is not normal in $\text{Aut}(P_n)$ for $n \geq 4$. $\square$

Let G_n be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(P_n)$ consisting of those automorphisms which are restrictions of automorphisms of B_n and the automorphism w_n , i.e.

$$G_n = \langle t, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, w_n \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The automorphisms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n, \varepsilon$ lie in $\langle \text{Aut}_c(P_n), G_n \rangle$.*

Proof. It follows that $\omega_k(z_n) = z_n$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $\varepsilon(z_n) = z_n$. As noted by Cohen [5], for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $k \neq 2$, the automorphism ω_k is given by the conjugation action of the braid σ_k on the pure braid group. More precisely, $\omega_k(A_{i,j}) =$

$A_{i,j}^{\sigma_k} = \sigma_k^{-1} A_{i,j} \sigma_k$. On the other hand, ω_2 is the composite of the conjugation action of σ_2 and the automorphism $\phi_{1,3}$ given by

$$\phi_{1,3}: A_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} A_{1,2} z_n & \text{if } i = 1, j = 2 \\ A_{1,3} z_n^{-1} & \text{if } i = 1, j = 3 \\ A_{i,j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The automorphism ω_n is the product of w_n and some central automorphism. Finally, the automorphism ε is the product of t and some central automorphism. $\square$

We now prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. $\text{Aut}(P_n) \cong \text{Aut}_c(P_n) \rtimes G_n$ for $n \geq 4$.

Proof. Obviously, $\text{Aut}_c(P_n) \rtimes G_n \leq \text{Aut}(P_n)$. By Bell and Margalit [3, Theorem 8], $\text{Aut}(P_n) \cong \text{Aut}_c(P_n) \rtimes \text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 4$. Recall that, $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}_{n+1}) = \langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \varepsilon \rangle$. The proof of the theorem is now complete by Lemma 3.5. $\square$

We conclude this section with an explicit presentation of $\text{Aut}(P_4)$. By definition of ω_i 's and ε , we have

$$\omega_1 = s_1, \omega_2 = s_2 \phi_{13}, \omega_3 = s_3, \omega_4 = w_4 \phi_{14} \phi_{24}, \varepsilon \tau = \psi.$$

Now rewriting the relations of $\text{Aut}(P_4)$ in the new generators yields the following result.

Proposition 3.7. *The group $\text{Aut}(P_4)$ in the generators*

$$\{t, s_1, s_2, s_3, w_4, \psi, \phi_{13}, \phi_{23}, \phi_{14}, \phi_{24}, \phi_{34}\}$$

is defined by the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 s_3 &= s_3 s_1, \\ s_1 w_4 &= w_4 s a_1, \\ s_2 w_4 &= w_4 s_2 (\phi_{13}^{-1} \phi_{24}^{-1} \phi_{34})^2, \\ s_1 s_2 s_1 &= s_2 s_1 s_2, \\ s_3 w_4 s_3 &= w_4 s_3 w_4 (\phi_{14} \phi_{24} \phi_{34}^{-1})^2, \\ s_1 s_2 s_3 w_4^2 s_3 s_2 s a_1 &= (\phi_{13}^{-1} \phi_{23}^2)^2, \\ (s_1 s_2 s_3 w_4)^5 &= (\phi_{13}^{-1} \phi_{23})^3 (\phi_{14}^{-1} \phi_{24}^{-1} \phi_{34})^4, \\ (\psi t)^2 &= \psi^{-1} t^{-1} \psi t = \psi^2 = t^2 = 1, \\ \psi s_k &= s_k \psi \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \\ \psi w_4 &= w_4 \psi, \\ (\psi \phi_{ij})^2 &= 1 \text{ for all } \{i, j\}, \\ t \phi_{ij} t &= \phi_{ij}^{-1} \psi^{-2} \text{ for all } \{i, j\}, \\ \phi_{ij} \phi_{pq} &= \phi_{pq} \phi_{ij} \text{ for all } \{i, j\}, \{p, q\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ts_1)^2 &= \psi^{-2}, \\
(ts_2)^2 &= \phi_{13}^{-2}, \\
(ts_3)^2 &= \psi^{-2}, \\
(tw_4)^2 &= \psi^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1^{-1}\phi_{13}s_1 &= \phi_{23}, \\
s_1^{-1}\phi_{23}s_1 &= \phi_{13}, \\
s_1^{-1}\phi_{14}s_1 &= \phi_{24}, \\
s_1^{-1}\phi_{24}s_1 &= \phi_{14}, \\
s_1^{-1}\phi_{34}s_1 &= \phi_{34},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_2^{-1}\phi_{13}s_2 &= \phi_{13}^{-1}, \\
s_2^{-1}\phi_{23}s_2 &= \phi_{13}^{-1}\phi_{23}, \\
s_2^{-1}\phi_{14}s_2 &= \phi_{13}^{-1}\phi_{14}, \\
s_2^{-1}\phi_{24}s_2 &= \phi_{13}^{-1}\phi_{34}, \\
s_2^{-1}\phi_{34}s_2 &= \phi_{13}^{-1}\phi_{24},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_3^{-1}\phi_{13}s_3 &= \phi_{14}, \\
s_3^{-1}\phi_{23}s_3 &= \phi_{24}, \\
s_3^{-1}\phi_{14}s_3 &= \phi_{13}, \\
s_3^{-1}\phi_{24}s_3 &= \phi_{23}, \\
s_3^{-1}\phi_{34}s_3 &= \phi_{34},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_4^{-1}\phi_{13}w_4 &= \phi_{13}\phi_{24}\phi_{34}^{-1}, \\
w_4^{-1}\phi_{23}w_4 &= \phi_{23}\phi_{14}\phi_{34}^{-1}, \\
w_4^{-1}\phi_{14}w_4 &= \phi_{24}, \\
w_4^{-1}\phi_{24}w_4 &= \phi_{14}, \\
w_4^{-1}\phi_{34}w_4 &= \phi_{14}\phi_{24}\phi_{34}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

4. AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF P_3

For $n = 3$, we have $B_3 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 \rangle$. Let $A_{1,2} = \sigma_1^2$, $A_{1,3} = \sigma_2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^{-1}$ and $A_{2,3} = \sigma_2^2$. Then

$$P_3 = \langle A_{1,2}, A_{1,3}, A_{2,3} \rangle = \langle A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3} \rangle \times \langle A_{1,3}, A_{2,3} \rangle.$$

We set $x = A_{1,3}$, $y = A_{2,3}$ and $z = A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3}$. Then $P_3 = \langle z \rangle \times \langle x, y \rangle$, where $\langle x, y \rangle$ is the free group F_2 on two generators.

Lemma 4.1. *The action of σ_1 and σ_2 on x, y, z is given by*

- (1) $z^{\sigma_1} = z^{\sigma_2} = z$.
- (2) $x^{\sigma_1} = xyx^{-1}$ and $x^{\sigma_2} = y^{-1}x^{-1}z$.
- (3) $y^{\sigma_1} = x$ and $y^{\sigma_2} = y$.

Proof. (1) follows trivially since $z \in Z(B_3)$. For (2), we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^{\sigma_1} &= A_{1,3}^{\sigma_1} \\
 &= A_{2,3}[A_{1,2}^{-1}, A_{1,3}^{-1}] \\
 &= [(zy^{-1}x^{-1})^{-1}, x^{-1}] \\
 &= y[xy, x^{-1}] = y[x, x^{-1}]^y[y, x^{-1}] \\
 &= y[y, x^{-1}] \\
 &= xyx^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the identities follow easily. $\square$

Remark 4.2. The preceding lemma also implies that the automorphisms of P_3 induced by σ_1 and σ_2 are, in fact, automorphisms of $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$.

Next, we describe $\text{Aut}(P_3)$. Consider the following automorphisms of P_3

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta : \begin{cases} x \mapsto x \\ y \mapsto y \\ z \mapsto z^{-1}, \end{cases} \quad \xi : \begin{cases} x \mapsto xz \\ y \mapsto y \\ z \mapsto z, \end{cases} \\
 \eta : \begin{cases} x \mapsto x \\ y \mapsto yz \\ z \mapsto z, \end{cases} \quad \phi : \begin{cases} x \mapsto x^\psi \\ y \mapsto y^\psi \\ z \mapsto z, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

where ψ is an arbitrary automorphism of $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$.

Proposition 4.3. *The group $\text{Aut}(P_3)$ is generated by the set $\{\theta, \xi, \eta, \phi\}$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that any automorphism f of P_3 can be expressed in terms of these four automorphisms. Since $Z(P_3) = \langle z \rangle$, it follows that $z^f = z^{\pm 1}$. Further, f induces an automorphism of $P_3/Z(P_3) \cong F_2$. Hence $x^f \equiv x^\psi \pmod{Z(P_3)}$ and $y^f \equiv y^\psi \pmod{Z(P_3)}$ for some $\psi \in \text{Aut}(F_2)$. Define $\phi : P_3 \rightarrow P_3$ by

$$\phi : \begin{cases} x \mapsto x^\psi \\ y \mapsto y^\psi \\ z \mapsto z. \end{cases}$$

Then the automorphism $f\phi^{-1}$ induce identity on $P_3/Z(P_3)$. Hence

$$f\phi^{-1} : \begin{cases} x \mapsto xz^\alpha \\ y \mapsto yz^\beta \\ z \mapsto z^{\pm 1} \end{cases}$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $f = \theta\xi^\alpha\eta^\beta\phi$. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Let $x \mapsto p(x, y)$ and $y \mapsto q(x, y)$ be an arbitrary automorphism of $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$.

Lemma 4.4. *The group $\text{Aut}(P_3)$ has following defining relations:*

- (1) $\theta^2 = 1$
- (2) $\xi\eta = \eta\xi$
- (3) $\theta\xi\theta = \xi^{-1}$
- (4) $\theta\eta\theta = \eta^{-1}$
- (5) $\theta\phi = \phi\theta$ for all $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_2)$
- (6) $\xi\phi\xi^{-1} = \xi^{1-\log_x(p)}\eta^{-\log_x(q)}\phi$
- (7) $\eta\phi\eta^{-1} = \xi^{-\log_y(p)}\eta^{1-\log_y(q)}\phi$.

Proof. (1)-(5) are evident from the definitions of the automorphisms. For (6), consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^{\xi\phi\xi^{-1}} &= (xz)^{\phi\xi^{-1}} \\
 &= (p(x, y)z)^{\xi^{-1}} \\
 &= p(xz^{-1}, y)z \\
 &= p(x, y)z^{1-\log_x(p)} \\
 &= x^{\xi^{1-\log_x(p)}\eta^{-\log_x(q)}\phi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for y , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 y^{\xi\phi\xi^{-1}} &= y^{\phi\xi^{-1}} \\
 &= (q(x, y))^{\xi^{-1}} \\
 &= q(xz^{-1}, y) \\
 &= q(x, y)z^{1-\log_x(q)} \\
 &= y^{\xi^{1-\log_x(p)}\eta^{-\log_x(q)}\phi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The identity (7) follows analogously. □

Remark 4.5. Conditions (6) and (7) can be rewritten in the following form:

- (6') $\phi\xi\phi^{-1} = \xi^{\log_x(p)}\eta^{\log_x(q)}$.
- (7') $\phi\eta\phi^{-1} = \xi^{\log_y(p)}\eta^{\log_y(q)}$.

The above relations yield the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(P_3)$ and $\text{Aut}(P_3)/\langle \xi, \eta \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \text{Aut}(F_2)$.*

Recall that $P_3 = \mathbb{Z} \times F_2$. In this case, $\text{Aut}(P_3) = \text{Aut}_c(P_3) \times \text{Aut}(F_2)$, where $\text{Aut}_c(P_3) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, generated by $\psi, \phi_{1,3}, \phi_{2,3}$ with $\psi^2 = 1$ and $\psi\phi_{i,j}\psi = \phi_{i,j}^{-1}$. The generators are given by

$$\psi : \begin{cases} A_{1,2} \mapsto A_{1,2}z^{-2} \\ A_{1,3} \mapsto A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,3} \mapsto A_{2,3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_{1,3} : \begin{cases} A_{1,2} \mapsto A_{1,2}z \\ A_{1,3} \mapsto A_{1,3}z^{-1} \\ A_{2,3} \mapsto A_{2,3} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi_{2,3} : \begin{cases} A_{1,2} \mapsto A_{1,2}z \\ A_{1,3} \mapsto A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,3} \mapsto A_{2,3}z^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $F_2 = \overline{P}_3 = P_3/Z(P_3) = P_3/\langle A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3} \rangle = \langle A_{1,3}, A_{2,3} \rangle$. The group $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ admits the following presentation

$$\text{Aut}(F_2) = \langle \rho, \sigma, \nu \mid \rho^2, \sigma^2, (\sigma\rho)^4, (\rho\sigma\rho\nu)^2, (\nu\rho\sigma)^3, [\nu, \sigma\nu\sigma] \rangle,$$

where the automorphisms are given by

$$\rho : \begin{cases} A_{1,3} \mapsto A_{2,3} \\ A_{2,3} \mapsto A_{1,3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma : \begin{cases} A_{1,3} \mapsto A_{1,3}^{-1} \\ A_{2,3} \mapsto A_{2,3} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu : \begin{cases} A_{1,3} \mapsto A_{1,3}A_{2,3} \\ A_{2,3} \mapsto A_{2,3}. \end{cases}$$

The lifts of these automorphisms to automorphisms of P_3 fixing the $A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3}$ are given by setting

$$\rho(A_{1,2}) = A_{2,3}A_{1,3}A_{2,3}^{-1}, \quad \sigma(A_{1,2}) = A_{1,2}A_{1,3}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(A_{1,2}) = A_{2,3}^{-1}A_{1,2}.$$

Thus, we have proved the following.

Proposition 4.7. *The group $\text{Aut}(P_3)$ is generated by the set $\{\rho, \sigma, \nu, \psi, \phi_{1,3}, \phi_{2,3}\}$.*

5. EXTENSION AND LIFTING PROBLEM FOR $1 \rightarrow U_n \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow 1$

In this section, we deal the extension and lifting problem for the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U_n \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow 1.$$

The cases $n = 1, 2$ are vacuous. For $n = 3$, we have $P_3 \cong U_3 \times P_2$, and hence each automorphism of U_3 and P_2 can be extended to an automorphism of P_3 .

We deal the case $n = 4$ in the rest of this section. Recall that, by definition, $P_4 = \langle A_{1,2}, A_{1,3}, A_{2,3}, A_{1,4}, A_{2,4}, A_{3,4} \rangle$ and $P_3 = \langle A_{1,2}, A_{1,3}, A_{2,3} \rangle$. Further, $U_4 = \langle A_{1,4}, A_{2,4}, A_{3,4} \rangle$ is normal in P_4 and $P_4/U_4 \cong P_3$. The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *There exists an automorphism of P_3 which cannot be lifted to an automorphism of P_4 .*

The theorem will be proved via the following sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a group and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. For $g \in G$, let $C_G(g) = \{x \in G \mid gx = xg\}$. Then $C_G(g^\phi) = C_G(g)^\phi$.*

Proof. Let $x \in C_G(g)$. Then $xg = gx$ implies that $x^\phi g^\phi = g^\phi x^\phi$. This further implies $x^\phi \in C_G(g^\phi)$. Hence $C_G(g)^\phi \subseteq C_G(g^\phi)$. The converse is also obvious. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $F_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ such that*

$$\phi : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ x_n \mapsto w^{-1}x_nw & \text{where } w \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{Fix}(\phi) = F_{n-1} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$.

Proof. Let $g \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$. Then we can write $g = w_1x_n^{\alpha_1} \dots w_mx_n^{\alpha_m}w_{m+1}$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w_1, \dots, w_m, w_{m+1} \in F_{n-1}$. We can assume that $w_{m+1} = 1$ and $\alpha_m \neq 0$. Applying ϕ gives

$$\begin{aligned} w_1x_n^{\alpha_1} \dots w_mx_n^{\alpha_m} &= g^\phi \\ &= w_1(x_n^{\alpha_1})^w \dots w_m(x_n^{\alpha_m})^w \\ &= w_1w^{-1}x_n^{\alpha_1}(ww_2w^{-1}) \dots (ww_mw^{-1})x_n^{\alpha_m}w. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the elements $ww_iw^{-1} \neq 1$ and we have equality in the free product $F_n = F_{n-1} \star \langle x_n \rangle$. This implies that $m = 1$ and $\alpha_m = 0$. Hence $g \in F_{n-1}$. $\square$

We also need the well-known conjugation rules in P_4 . Consider the automorphism of P_3 of the following form

$$\phi : \begin{cases} A_{1,2} \mapsto A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3}^{-1}A_{1,3}^{-1} \\ A_{1,3} \mapsto A_{1,3}A_{2,3} \\ A_{2,3} \mapsto A_{2,3}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.4. $\text{Fix}(\widehat{A_{1,3}}) = \langle A_{1,4}A_{3,4}, A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4} \rangle$.

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^{A_{1,3}} &= (A_{1,4}A_{3,4})A_{1,4}(A_{1,4}A_{3,4})^{-1}(A_{1,4}A_{3,4}A_{1,4}^{-1}A_{3,4}^{-1})A_{2,4} \\ &\quad (A_{1,4}A_{3,4}A_{1,4}^{-1}A_{3,4}^{-1})^{-1}A_{1,4}A_{3,4}A_{3,4}(A_{1,4}A_{3,4})^{-1} \\ &= A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}A_{1,4}A_{3,4}^{-1}A_{1,4}^{-1}A_{1,4}A_{3,4}A_{1,4}^{-1} \\ &= A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $(A_{1,4}A_{3,4})^{A_{1,3}} = A_{1,4}A_{3,4}$. Note that

$$U_4 = \langle A_{1,4}, A_{2,4}, A_{3,4} \rangle = \langle A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}, A_{1,4}A_{3,4}, A_{1,4} \rangle.$$

In these generators, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^{A_{1,3}} &= A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}, \\ (A_{1,4}A_{3,4})^{A_{1,3}} &= A_{1,4}A_{3,4}, \\ A_{1,4}^{A_{1,3}} &= A_{1,4}A_{3,4}A_{1,4}(A_{1,4}A_{3,4})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.3, we obtain $\text{Fix}(A_{1,3}) = \langle A_{1,4}A_{3,4}, A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4} \rangle$. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. $\text{Fix}(\widehat{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}}) = \langle A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4} \rangle$.

Proof. First, note that $A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4} \in \text{Fix}(A_{1,3}A_{2,3})$. Now, we determine action of $A_{1,3}A_{2,3}$ on U_4 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,4}^{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} &= ((A_{1,4}A_{3,4})A_{1,4}(A_{1,4}A_{3,4})^{-1})^{A_{2,3}} \\ &= (A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}A_{2,4}^{-1})A_{1,4}(A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}A_{2,4}^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})A_{2,4}^{-1}A_{1,4}A_{2,4}(A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3,4}^{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} &= (A_{1,4}A_{3,4}A_{1,4}^{-1})^{A_{2,3}} \\ &= (A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})A_{3,4}(A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have $(A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} = A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}$. If we set $x = A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}$, $y = A_{3,4}$ and $z = A_{1,4}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x^{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} &= x, \\ y^{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} &= xyx^{-1}, \\ z^{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} &= (xyz^{-1})z(xyz^{-1})^{-1} = (xy)z(xy)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $w \in \text{Fix}(A_{1,3}A_{2,3})$. Then $w = w_1z^{\alpha_1}w_2z^{\alpha_2} \cdots w_mz^{\alpha_m}w_{m+1}$, where $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{m+1} \in \langle y, x \rangle$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w^{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} \\ &= (xw_1x^{-1})(xyz^{-1})z^{\alpha_1}(xyz^{-1})^{-1} \cdots (xyz^{-1})z^{\alpha_m}(xyz^{-1})^{-1}xw_mx^{-1} \\ &= w_1^x(xy)z^{\alpha_1}(xy)^{-1} \cdots (xy)z^{\alpha_m}(xy)^{-1}w_m^x \\ &= (w_1^xxy)z^{\alpha_1}((xy)^{-1}w_2^x(xy)) \cdots ((xy)^{-1}w_{m-1}^x(xy))z^{\alpha_m}((xy)^{-1}w_m^x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $(xy)^{-1}w_m^x = w_{m+1}$, which is a contradiction if $\alpha_m \neq 0$. Hence $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_m = 0$ and $w = x^{\alpha_1}y^{\beta_1} \cdots x^{\alpha_n}y^{\beta_n}$. Now, by Lemma 5.3, $w = x^\alpha$ for some α . This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. If we lift the automorphism ϕ to P_4 , then $\text{Fix}(\widehat{A_{1,3}}) \cong F_2$ and $\text{Fix}(\widehat{A_{1,3}A_{2,3}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, which is a contradiction. Hence ϕ cannot be lifted to P_4 . $\square$

In the reverse direction, we have the following.

Theorem 5.6. *There exists an automorphism of U_4 which cannot be extended to an automorphism of P_4 .*

Proof. Consider the automorphism of U_4 of the following form

$$\phi : \begin{cases} A_{1,4} \mapsto A_{1,4}A_{2,4} \\ A_{2,4} \mapsto A_{2,4} \\ A_{3,4} \mapsto A_{3,4}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that we can extend ϕ to an automorphism of P_4 . Then ϕ induces an automorphism of P_3 . Recall that $Z(P_3) = \langle A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3} \rangle$. Then $(A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3})^\phi =$

$(A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3})^{\pm 1}$. Also, $A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3}$ acts on U_4 by conjugating $A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}$. Hence $(A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^{A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3}} = A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4}$. Applying ϕ , we get

$$((A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^\phi)^{(A_{1,2}A_{1,3}A_{2,3})^\phi} = (A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^\phi.$$

This further implies $(A_{1,4}A_{2,4}^2A_{3,4})^{(A_{1,4}A_{2,4}A_{3,4})^{\pm 1}} = A_{1,4}A_{2,4}^2A_{3,4}$, which is a contradiction. Hence ϕ cannot be extended to an automorphism of P_4 . $\square$

Question 3. *What can we say about the lifting and extension problem for the exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow U_n \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow 1$$

for $n \geq 5$.

6. EXTENSION AND LIFTING PROBLEM FOR $1 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$

In this section, we investigate the extension and lifting problem for the well-known short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{\pi} S_n \rightarrow 1.$$

The following is a straightforward observation.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. Then every $\phi \in \text{Inn}(H)$ has a lift in $\text{Aut}(G)$ and every $\psi \in \text{Inn}(K)$ has an extension in $\text{Aut}(G)$.*

We now prove the following.

Proposition 6.2. *If $n \neq 6$, then any automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S_n)$ can be lifted to an automorphism of B_n . Further, the non-inner automorphism of S_6 cannot be lifted to an automorphism of B_6 .*

Proof. We know that if $n \neq 2$ or 6 , then $\text{Aut}(S_n) = \text{Inn}(S_n)$, and hence the result follows from Lemma 6.1. For $n = 2$, we have $B_2 = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle$, $P_2 = \langle \sigma_1^2 \rangle$ and $S_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that $\text{Aut}(S_2) = 1$, and the identity automorphism is obviously liftable to B_2 . On the other hand, $\text{Aut}(P_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, say, generated by ψ . Then $\psi(\sigma_1^2) = \sigma_1^{-2}$. Define $\psi^\circ : B_2 \rightarrow B_2$ by $\psi^\circ(\sigma_1) = \sigma_1^{-1}$. Then ψ° is an extension of ψ .

Finally, let $n = 6$ and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S_6) \setminus \text{Inn}(S_6)$. Since $\text{Aut}(S_6) = \text{Inn}(S_6) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $\phi^2 = 1$. If $\tilde{\phi}$ is a lift of ϕ , then $\tilde{\phi} = \hat{g}$ for some $g \in B_6$ or $\tilde{\phi} = \hat{g}\tau$, where $\tau(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i^{-1}$. Here $\hat{g}$ is the inner automorphism of B_6 induced by g . If $\tilde{\phi} = \hat{g}$, then applying on the generators yield

$$s_i^\phi = (\sigma_i^\pi)^\phi = (\sigma_i^{\tilde{\phi}})^\pi = (g^\pi)^{-1} s_i g^\pi$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. This implies that $\phi = \widehat{g^\pi}$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that $\tilde{\phi} = \hat{g}\tau$. Then

$$s_i^\phi = (\sigma_i^\pi)^\phi = (\sigma_i^{\tilde{\phi}})^\pi = (\tau(g)^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \tau(g))^\pi = (\tau(g)^\pi)^{-1} s_i \tau(g)^\pi$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. This implies that $\phi = \widehat{\tau(g)^\pi}$, which is again a contradiction. $\square$

Recall that $P_n \cong Z(P_n) \times P_n/Z(P_n)$, where $Z(P_n) = \langle z_n \rangle$ is infinite cyclic. Further, the group of central automorphisms of P_n is given by

$$\text{Aut}_c(P_n) \cong tv^\circ(P_n) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where $tv^\circ(P_n) = \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $N = \binom{n}{2} - 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle \theta_0 \rangle$. Here $z_n^{\theta_0} = z_n^{-1}$ and it acts trivially on $P_n/Z(P_n)$.

Proposition 6.3. *No non-trivial element of $tv^\circ(P_n)$ can be extended to an automorphism of B_n .*

Proof. First observe that

$$\text{Aut}(B_n)/\text{Inn}(P_n) \cong \langle \text{Inn}(B_n), \tau \rangle / \text{Inn}(P_n) \cong \langle S_n, \tau \rangle$$

is a finite group. Let $\phi^\circ \in tv^\circ(P_n)$ be extendable to an automorphism ϕ of B_n . Then there exists an integer m such that $\phi^m \in \text{Inn}(P_n)$, and hence $\phi^m|_{P_n} = \phi^{\circ m}|_{P_n}$. This implies $\phi^m \in tv^\circ(P_n) \cap \text{Inn}(P_n) = \{1\}$, and hence $\phi^{\circ m} = 1$. But the group $tv^\circ(P_n)$ is free abelian, and hence does not have any non-trivial element of finite order. Therefore $\phi^\circ = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\tau \in \text{Aut}(B_n)$ be the automorphism given by $\tau(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $z_n^\tau = z_n^{-1}$.*

Proof. Recall that $z_n = (\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})^n$. Thus $z_n^\tau = (\sigma_1^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{n-1}^{-1})^n$. Since $Z(B_n) = \langle z_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we have $z_n^\tau = z_n^{\pm 1}$. Note that B_n/B'_n is infinite cyclic generated by $\sigma_1 B'_n$. If $z_n^\tau = z_n$, then reading this equation modulo B'_n gives $\sigma_1^{(n-1)n} \equiv \sigma_1^{-(n-1)n} \pmod{B'_n}$. Equivalently, $\sigma_1^{2(n-1)n} \equiv 1 \pmod{B'_n}$, which is a contradiction as B_n/B'_n has no element of finite order. Hence $z_n^\tau = z_n^{-1}$. $\square$

Proposition 6.5. θ_0 cannot be extended to an automorphism of B_n .

Proof. Suppose that $\theta \in \text{Aut}(B_n)$ is an extension of θ_0 . Then $z_n^\theta = z_n^{\theta_0} = z_n^{-1}$. Recall that $\text{Aut}(B_n) \cong \text{Inn}(B_n) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$. Since for each $\psi \in \text{Inn}(B_n)$, we have $z_n^\psi = z_n$, it follows that $\theta = \tau\psi$ for some $\psi \in \text{Inn}(B_n)$. It follows from the relations in B_n that $\psi \in \text{Inn}(B_n)$ induces a permutation of P_n/P'_n . On the other hand, τ induces inversion in P_n/P'_n , more precisely, $A_{i,j}^\tau \equiv A_{i,j}^{-1} \pmod{P'_n}$ for all $i < j$. We can assume that

$$P_n/Z(P_n) = \langle A_{1,3}, A_{2,3}, A_{1,4}, A_{2,4}, A_{3,4}, \dots, A_{1,n}, A_{2,n}, \dots, A_{n-1,n} \rangle.$$

Then we have

$$A_{1,3} = A_{1,3}^\theta = A_{1,3}^{\tau\psi} \equiv (A_{1,3}^{-1})^\psi \equiv A_{i,j}^{-1} \pmod{P'_n},$$

for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, which is a contradiction. This proves the proposition. $\square$

Finally, we prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.6. *No non-trivial element of $\text{Aut}_c(P_n)$ can be extended to an automorphism of B_n .*

Proof. Let $\phi = \alpha\tau^\epsilon \in \text{Aut}_c(P_n)$, where $\alpha \in tv^\circ(P_n)$ and $\epsilon = 0, 1$. In view of Propositions 6.3 and 6.5, we can assume that $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\epsilon = 1$. Note that $\phi^2 = (\alpha\tau)^2 = \alpha^2 \neq 1$

since $tv^\circ(P_n)$ is free abelian. By Proposition 6.3, α^2 cannot be extended to an automorphism of B_n . Therefore ϕ^2 , and hence ϕ cannot be extended to an automorphism of B_n . $\square$

The following question remains.

Question 4. *Which non-central automorphisms of P_n can be extended to automorphisms of B_n ?*

Acknowledgement. *The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Russian Science Foundation Project No.16-41-02006 and the DST-RSF Project INT/RUS/RSF/P-2.*

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artin, *Theory of braids*, Ann. of Math. 48 (1947), 101–126.
- [2] E. Artin, *Braids and permutations*, Ann. of Math. 48 (1947), 643–649.
- [3] R. W. Bell and D. Margalit, *Injections of Artin groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007), 725–751.
- [4] J. S. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies 82, Princeton University Press, 1974.
- [5] D. C. Cohen, *Automorphism groups of some pure braid groups*, Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 3404–3416.
- [6] J. L. Dyer and E. K. Grossman, *The automorphism groups of the braid groups*, Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), 1151–1169.
- [7] P. Jin, *Automorphisms of groups*, J. Algebra 312 (2007), 562–569.
- [8] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1996.
- [9] A. A. Markov, *Foundations of the algebraic theory of braids*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov [Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.] 16 (1945), 1–54.
- [10] I. B. S. Passi, M. Singh and M. K. Yadav, *Automorphisms of abelian group extensions*, J. Algebra 324 (2010), 820–830.
- [11] D. J. S. Robinson, *Applications of cohomology to the theory of groups*, Groups - St. Andrews 1981 (St. Andrews, 1981), 46–80, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 71, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-New York, 1982.
- [12] C. Wells, *Automorphisms of group extensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 155 (1971), 189–194.

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK 630090, RUSSIA.

LABORATORY OF QUANTUM TOPOLOGY, CHELYABINSK STATE UNIVERSITY, BRAT'EV KASHIRINYKH STREET 129, CHELYABINSK 454001, RUSSIA.

NOVOSIBIRSK STATE AGRARIAN UNIVERSITY, DOBROLYUBOVA STREET, 160, NOVOSIBIRSK, 630039, RUSSIA.

E-mail address: bardakov@math.nsc.ru

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK 630090, RUSSIA.

E-mail address: neshch@math.nsc.ru

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH (IISER) MOHALI, SECTOR 81, S. A. S. NAGAR, P. O. MANAULI, PUNJAB 140306, INDIA.

E-mail address: mahender@iisermohali.ac.in